

A NOTE ON THE IRREGULAR PRIMES WITH RESPECT TO EULER POLYNOMIALS

SU HU AND MIN-SOO KIM

ABSTRACT. An odd prime p is called irregular with respect to Euler polynomials if it divides at least one of the integers

$$2E_1(0), 2^3E_3(0), \dots, 2^{p-2}E_{p-2}(0),$$

where $E_n(x)$ is the n th Euler polynomial.

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, $K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$ be the p th cyclotomic field and its maximal real subfield, respectively. Let S be the set of infinite places of K , T be the set of places above the prime 2, $h_{p,2}, h_{p,2}^+$ be the (S, T) -refined class number of K and K^+ , respectively, as Gross in [3]. Let $h_{p,2}^- = h_{p,2}/h_{p,2}^+$ be the (S, T) -relative class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. We show that $p \mid h_{p,2}^-$ if and only if p is irregular with respect to Euler polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Bernoulli numbers B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots are given by $B_0 = 1$ and the recursion

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k} B_k = 0 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad B_n = -\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k} B_k$$

$$(n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

The Euler numbers E_0, E_1, E_2, \dots are given by $E_0 = 1$ and the recursion

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 2 \mid n-k}}^n \binom{n}{k} E_k = 0 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad E_n = - \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 2 \mid n-k}}^n \binom{n}{k} E_k$$

$$(n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

(See [8, Definition 1.1]).

The Bernoulli polynomials $B_k(x)$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) are defined by the generating function

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{xe^{tx}}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(t) \frac{x^k}{k!}.$$

In particular, the numbers $B_k = B_k(0)$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) are just the Bernoulli numbers defined as in (1.1). (See [5, p. 230, Lemma 1]).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R18, 11S40, 11S80.

Key words and phrases. Irregular prime, Euler number and polynomial, p -adic integral.

The Euler polynomials $E_k(x)$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) are defined by the generating function

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{2e^{xt}}{e^t + 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_k(x) \frac{t^k}{k!}.$$

Different with Bernoulli polynomials, the numbers $E_k(0)$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) are not named Euler numbers in the most literatures, while the integers $E_k = 2^k E_k(1/2)$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) are called Euler numbers. For example, $E_0 = 1, E_2 = -1, E_4 = 5$, and $E_6 = -61$. The Euler numbers and polynomials (so called by Scherk in 1825) appear in Euler's famous book, *Insitutiones Calculi Differentialis* (1755, pp. 487–491 and p. 522).

Kummer introduced the notion of irregular prime as follows. An odd prime p is said to be regular (with respect to Bernoulli numbers (1.1)) if p does not divide the numerator of any of the numbers B_2, B_4, \dots, B_{p-3} . If p is not regular, it is called irregular. The prime 3 is irregular. (See [5, p. 233]).

The notion of irregular prime has an important application in algebraic number theory. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ be the p th cyclotomic field. Kummer proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Kummer, [10, p. 62, Theorem 5.16]). *Let p be an odd prime and let h_p^- be the relative class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Then $p \mid h_p^- \Leftrightarrow p$ divides the numerator of B_j for some $j = 2, 4, \dots, p-3$.*

The proof of the above result is based on the following well-known decomposition of the relative class number h_p^- as the generalized Bernoulli numbers

$$h_p^- = 2p \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \text{ odd}}}^{p-2} \left(\frac{1}{2} B_{1, \omega^j} \right).$$

(See [10, p. 43, Theorem 4.17]). Here ω is the Teichmüller character (see [10, p. 51]) and for any Dirichlet character χ of conductor f , the generalized Bernoulli numbers $B_{n, \chi}$ are defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{a=1}^f \frac{\chi(a) t e^{at}}{e^{ft} - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n, \chi} \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

(See [10, p. 31]).

Carlitz [2] gave a similar notion of irregular prime with respect to Euler numbers (1.2), that is, a prime p is irregular with respect to Euler numbers if it divides at least one of the numbers E_2, E_4, \dots, E_{p-3} . (See [2, p. 330, (3.31)]). As indicated by Carlitz, this notion has a connection with the solution of Fermat equation

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$

by Vandiver in [9].

Analogy with Kummer and Carlitz, we here define an odd prime p is irregular with respect to Euler polynomials if it divides at least one of the

integers $2E_1(0), 2^3E_3(0), \dots, 2^{p-2}E_{p-2}(0)$. It needs to be notice that $E_k(0) = 0$ if k is even ([8, p. 5, Corollary 1.1(ii)]) and $2^kE_k(0) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $k \geq 1$ ([6, p. 2169, Lemma 2.1]).

We shall show the above notion of irregular also has a connection with the ideal class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, $K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$ be the p th cyclotomic field and its maximal real subfield, respectively. Let S be the set of infinite places of K , T be the set of places above the prime 2, $h_{p,2}, h_{p,2}^+$ be the (S, T) -refined class number of K and K^+ , respectively. For the definitions of the (S, T) -refined class numbers of global fields, we refer to Gross [3, Section 1], Aoki [1, Section 7] or [4, Section 2]. In this note, we prove the following results.

Theorem 1.2. *Let p be an odd prime and let $h_{p,2}^- = h_{p,2}/h_{p,2}^+$ be the (S, T) -relative class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Then $p \mid h_{p,2}^- \Leftrightarrow p$ divides $2^kE_k(0)$ for some $k = 1, 3, \dots, p-2$.*

Remark 1.3. This is an analogue of a well-known theorem for irregular primes with respect to Bernoulli numbers. (See Theorem 1.1 above).

Theorem 1.4. *There are infinitely many irregular primes with respect to Euler polynomials.*

Remark 1.5. This is also an analogue of a well-known result: “There are infinitely many irregular primes (with respect to Bernoulli numbers).” (See [10, p. 62, Theorem 5.17]).

For a primitive Dirichlet character χ with an odd conductor f , the generalized Euler numbers $E_{n,\chi}$ are defined by

$$(1.6) \quad 2 \sum_{a=1}^f \frac{(-1)^a \chi(a) e^{at}}{e^{ft} + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,\chi} \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

(See [4, Section 5.1]).

In what follows, the notation “ \equiv ” means $\text{ord}_p(a-b) > 0$ if $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, that is, $a-b$ is p -integral.

To prove the main results, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.6. *Suppose p is an odd prime and n is an odd integer. Then*

$$E_{0,\omega^n} \equiv E_n(0) \pmod{p}.$$

Remark 1.7. This is an analogue of a well-known result for Bernoulli numbers: “Suppose n is odd, $n \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p-1}$. Then

$$B_{1,\omega^n} \equiv \frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \pmod{p}.”$$

(See [10, p. 61, Corollary 5.15]).

2. PROOFS

Proof of Proposition 1.6. By [7, Proposition 5.4], for any integers $k, n \geq 0$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad E_{n, \omega^{k-n}} = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \omega^{k-n}(a) a^n d\mu_{-1}(a).$$

By [7, Proposition 2.1(1)], we have

$$(2.2) \quad E_k(0) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} a^k d\mu_{-1}(a).$$

Since $\omega(a) \equiv a \pmod{p}$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \omega^{k-n}(a) \equiv a^{k-n} \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^{k-n}(a) a^n \equiv a^k \pmod{p}.$$

From (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$E_{n, \omega^{k-n}} - E_k(0) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (\omega^{k-n}(a) a^n - a^k) d\mu_{-1}(a).$$

By (2.3), the expression in brackets under the integral sign is $\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, the theorem follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, so the associate group of Dirichlet character is cyclic group of order $p-1$ generated by the Teichmüller character ω , and the odd characters are ω^k , $k = 1, 3, \dots, p-2$. By [4, Proposition 3.4],

$$\begin{aligned} h_{p,2}^- &= (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 2^{2-p} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k < p \\ k \text{ odd}}} E_{0, \omega^k} \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 2^{2-p} E_{0, \omega^1} E_{0, \omega^3} \cdots E_{0, \omega^{p-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1.6, we have

$$h_{p,2}^- \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} 2^{2-p} E_1(0) E_3(0) \cdots E_{p-2}(0) \pmod{p}.$$

Thus we conclude our result. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$ be the set of irregular primes with respect to Euler polynomials. We will find an irregular prime not in this set.

For an integer k , set $n = k(p_1 - 1) \cdots (p_s - 1) - 1$. Since by [5, p. 232, Proposition 15.1.1(c)], $\left| \frac{B_{2m}}{2m} \right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we may choose an integer k so large such that $\left| \frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \right| > 1$. Choose a prime p with $\text{ord}_p \left(\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \right) > 0$. By Claussen-von Staudt for Bernoulli numbers ([5, p. 233, Theorem 3]), we have $p-1 \nmid n+1$. Thus $p \neq p_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Also $p \neq 2$. Since by [8, p. 10, Corollary 3.21],

$$(2.4) \quad E_n(0) = 2(1 - 2^{n+1}) \frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1},$$

we have $\text{ord}_p(E_n(0)) > 0$.

Now we show that p is irregular. Let $n \equiv l \pmod{p-1}$, where $0 \leq l < p-1$. Since $2 \mid p-1$, we have $n \equiv l \pmod{2}$, thus l is also odd. From Kummer's congruence for Bernoulli numbers:

$$\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \equiv \frac{B_{l+1}}{l+1} \pmod{p}$$

(see [10, p. 61, Corollary 5.14]), and Eq.(2.4) above, we have

$$E_n(0) \equiv E_l(0) \pmod{p}.$$

Notice that as assumption $\text{ord}_p(E_n(0)) > 0$, we have $\text{ord}_p(E_l(0)) > 0$, which shows that p is irregular. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aoki, *On Tate's refinement for a conjecture of Gross and its generalization*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **16** (2004), 457–486.
- [2] L. Carlitz, *Note on irregular primes*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 329–331.
- [3] B. Gross, *On the values of abelian L-functions at $s = 0$* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **35** (1988), 177–197.
- [4] S. Hu and M.-S. Kim, *The $(S, \{2\})$ -Iwasawa theory*, J. Number Theory **158** (2016), 73–89.
- [5] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A classical introduction to modern number theory*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 84, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [6] M.-S. Kim, *On Euler numbers, polynomials and related p -adic integrals*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 2166–2179.
- [7] M.-S. Kim and S. Hu, *On p -adic Hurwitz-type Euler zeta functions*, J. Number Theory **132** (2012), 2977–3015.
- [8] Z.-W. Sun, *Introduction to Bernoulli and Euler polynomials*, A Lecture Given in Taiwan on June 6, 2002. <http://math.nju.edu.cn/~zwsun/BerE.pdf>.
- [9] H. S. Vandiver, *Note on Euler number criteria for the first case of Fermat's last theorem*, Amer. J. Math. **62** (1940), 79–82.
- [10] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
GUANGZHOU, GUANGDONG 510640, CHINA

E-mail address: hus04@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn, mahusu@scut.edu.cn

CENTER FOR GENERAL EDUCATION, KYUNGNAM UNIVERSITY, 7(WORYEONG-DONG)
KYUNGNAMDAEHAK-RO, MASANHAPPO-GU, CHANGWON-SI, GYEONGSANGNAM-DO 631-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: mskim@kyungnam.ac.kr